




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

C1



FILE:



Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER


Date:

10/10/2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:




PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.



Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, initially approved the employment-based immigrant visa petition on April 17, 2000. Upon further review and investigation, the director determined that the petition had been approved in error and properly served the petitioner with a Notice of Intent to Revoke, and gave the petitioner the opportunity to submit additional evidence. The director subsequently exercised her discretion to revoke approval of the petition. The petition is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a minister. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been engaged continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The director also determined that the petitioner had not established that it had extended a valid job offer to the beneficiary or that it had the ability to pay the beneficiary a wage.

On appeal, the petitioner states that it will provide the evidence to establish the beneficiary's eligibility under the regulation. On the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit, the petitioner stated that it would be submitting a brief and or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. However, as of the date of this decision, more than five months after the appeal was filed, no further documentation has been received by the AAO. Therefore, the record will be considered complete as presently constituted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.